A checklist for HTA reports

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Busto Miramontes, Alicia, Paz-Valiñas, Lucinda. [Microwave versus radiofrecuency ablation treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma]. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico (avalia-t) 2017. Report No.: CT2017/01. Available from: https://avalia- t.sergas.gal/DXerais/717/CT201701 ablacionMicroondasHepat.pdf				
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t				
Name of person completing the checklist	Lucinda Paz Valiñas				
Email	Avalia-t@sergas.es				

ltem							Yes	Partly	No	
Preliminary										
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							Х			
2. Authors identified?							Х			
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							Х			
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							Х			
5. Short summary in non-technical language?									Х	
Why?									-	
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							Х			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							Х	1		
8. Scope of the assessment specified?						Х				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							Х			
How?						•				
10. Details on so	ources of	informati	ion and literature	search	n strategies p	orovide	ed?			
Search	Databases		Year		Language		Primary		Other kind of	
strategy			range	re	estriction		data	data information		
		- 0	Not limited-	Not limited-					resources	
YES	YES		2016	NO			YES		YES	
			List of		Inclusion			Exclusion		
of included studies		excluded studies YES			criteria YES			criteria YES		
11. Information on basis for the assessment and interpretation of selected data and information?Method of dataCritical appraisalMethod of dataResults of the										
extraction described?		method (for quality		synthesis described			assessment clearly			
		assessment of the							d, eg, in the form	
YES		literatu	re) described? YES			of evidence tables? YES				
					120			120		
Context?		 (4)					
	1		may not apply to ea			1		thar paran	octivos	
(Medico-) legal implications	Economic analysis		Ethical implications		Social implications		Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients,			
considered?	provided?				consider		consumers) considered			
NO	NO		NO	NO NO				NO		
What then?							Yes	Partly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							Х			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							Х			
15. Suggestions for further action?							Х			

Version 4. July 2007

Copyright INAHTA Secretariat 2007